

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,631	12/13/2001	Brian Fahs	10019977-1	9217
7590 10/06/2004			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			RAMPURIA, SATISH	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Display of the project of the provided for paying and the provided for paying and the project of the paying and the project of the paying and the paying			Δ/				
Examiner   Satish S. Rampuria   2124		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Satish S. Rampuria   2124		10/020,631	FAHS ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Educations for the may be available under the provisions of 3 CCR 1.136(a). In no conf. however, may a reply be limely like of the provided of the major special confidence in the major of 3 CCR 1.136(a). In no conf. however, may a reply be limely like of the provided of the reply special confidence in the major field of 3 CR 1.136(a). In no conf. however, may a reply be limely like of the reply special confidence in the provided pro	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 23 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extension of times may be evaluable under the properties and 27°CR 1.38(p). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - Extension of times may be evaluable under the properties and 27°CR 1.38(p). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - If No period for reply selected above, the maximum statutury pends with the saluatury manimum of thinty (30) days will be considered above. The maximum statutury pends will apply and will expire SIX (p) MXPTTS from the maining date of this communication. The properties of the properties of the communication of the communica		·					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Esteroisms of time may be available under the proxima of 37 CR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTIST from the mailing date of this communication.  **Proximal Communication**  It NO periods or reply is specified above. The macromic action period within the statutory proximal R(e) MCNTIST from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Other alter than three medical set the intervent action period and set in maining date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any commercial proximal to the set of the communication of the set of the s	The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 December 2001.  2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)□ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)□ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to .  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * o□ None of:  1□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)  1) ☑ Notice of Prafsporsor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ Information Discolaure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) Some c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) application Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144) or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Irradian Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status						
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) Some c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) application Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144) or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Irradian Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 December 2001</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)						
A) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5   Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)   Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)   Claim(s) is/are objected to mand/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4)	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Palent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to estriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) 21  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 51  Notice of informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the app	olication.	•				
6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Netice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.	·					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Attachment (s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	•	the state of the s					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  1	a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)   ☐ Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ☐   ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			nalication No.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  1)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<del>-</del> •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Solution of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•••	-	received.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	COS TITO ATTACHMENT CONTROL ACTION ACTION	/					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
J Information bisclosure diatement(s) (1 to 11 to 31 to 32 t	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	)-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				

Art Unit: 2124

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed on 12/13/2001.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11,15, 17, 19, and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,182,282 to Stoodley et al. (hereinafter called Stoodley).

## Per claim 1:

## Stoodley disclose:

- A computer-implemented method for analyzing a virtual function (col. 4, lines 28-30 "computer implemented method of compiling... a computer program for calling at least one... virtual function"), said method comprising:
- determining whether a virtual table exists for a virtual function (col. 4, lines 32-35 "determining... virtual functions... in a virtual function table"); and
- determining a call type for a virtual function (col. 4, lines 29-30 "a computer program for calling... virtual function").

Application/Control Number: 10/020,631 Page 3

Art Unit: 2124

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Stoodley disclose:

- wherein said call type is selected from the group comprising direct, indirect, and virtual

substantially as claimed (col. 4, lines 66-67 "employing said entry to operably construct a

call to said virtual function").

Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Stoodley disclose:

- determining from which location said virtual function has been called (col. 4, lines 54-

55 "determining a location of an entry for said virtual function in a virtual function

table").

Claims 9, 11, and 15 are the computer program product claim corresponding to method claims 1,

3, and 7 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the

rejection of claims 1, 3, and 7 respectively, above.

Claims 17, 19, and 23 are the apparatus claim corresponding to method claims 1, 3, and 7

respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of

claims 1, 3, and 7 respectively, above.

Art Unit: 2124

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 18, 20, 21, 22 and 24 rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stoodley in view of US Patent No. 6,263,491 to

Hunt (hereinafter called Hunt).

## Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Stoodley does not explicitly disclose performing instrumentation on said virtual function based upon said call type.

However, Hunt discloses in an analogous computer system performing instrumentation (col. 3, lines 50 "instrumentation packages for performing operations on the applications") on said virtual function based upon said call type (col. 11, lines 1-2 "calling indirectly through an interface's virtual function table").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of performing instrumentation on said virtual function based upon said call type as taught by Hunt into the method of analyzing and determining if the virtual function table exist as taught by Stoodley. The modification would be obvious because of one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to perform instrumenting on virtual functions to reduce the overhead for particular operation as suggested by Hunt (col. 3, lines 18-37).

Art Unit: 2124

## Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 2 is incorporated, and further, Stoodley disclose:

- provided said virtual table is located (col. 7, lines 12 "A virtual function table...

  provided"), replacing an existing address for said virtual function with a new address for said virtual function in said virtual table such that said new address points to instrumentation code (col. 4, lines 40-46 "each entry for each remaining inherited virtual function and for each new virtual function comprises an address pointer representing one of the location of an address adjustment program and an address of said function");
- upon a call to said virtual function (col. 4, lines 48-49 "compiling a call to a virtual function"), load said new address from said virtual table (col. 4, lines 54-55 "determining a location of an entry for said virtual function in a virtual function table") such that execution is directed to the instrumentation code (col. 5, lines 21-22 "transferring execution of the program to the address indicated by the address pointer"); and
- continue execution and execute said instrumentation code such that control is delivered to said instrumentor (col. 9, lines 49-51 "The hybrid VFT implementation allows classes compiled by an old compiler to be integrated with newly compiled classes without recompilation of the old classes").

#### Per claim 5:

Art Unit: 2124

The rejection of claim 4 is incorporated, and further, Stoodley does not explicitly disclose performing a desired instrumentation task by said instrumentor; and resume execution by said instrumentor at said existing address previously contained in said virtual table.

However, Hunt discloses in an analogous computer system performing a desired instrumentation task by said instrumentor (col. 3, lines 49-51 "Different versions... are packaged in different instrumentation packages for performing operations on the application"); and resume execution by said instrumentor (col. 44, line 3 "resumes application execution") at said existing address previously contained in said virtual table (col. 44, lines 6-8 "leaving the instrumentation runtime firmly embedded in the application's address space").

The feature of instrumenting and resume execution at an address would be obvious for the reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

#### Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 4 is incorporated, and further, Stoodley does not explicitly disclose overwriting said instrumentation code with instrumentation code which performs a desired instrumentation task; and provide an instruction at the end of said instrumentation code wherein said instruction points back to said existing address previously contained in said virtual table.

However, Hunt discloses in an analogous computer system overwriting said instrumentation code with instrumentation code which performs a desired instrumentation task (col. 45, lines 3-5 "the new imports section 670 can be overwritten with a binary rewriter to include the second library instead of the first, and the application re-binded"); and provide an instruction at the end of said instrumentation code wherein said instruction points back to said

Art Unit: 2124

existing address previously contained in said virtual table (col. 45, lines 22-25 "an interface is a pointer to a virtual function table (VTBL, pronounced "V-Table"). A component client always accesses an interface through an interface pointer (a pointer to the pointer to a virtual function table)").

The feature of overwriting instrumenting code and provide an instruction at an address would be obvious for the reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 2.

## Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 4 is incorporated, and further, Stoodley disclose:

maintaining a mapping between said existing address for said virtual function and said new address for said virtual function (col. 4, lines 61-65 "each entry for each remaining inherited virtual function and for each new function comprises an address pointer representing one of the location of an address adjustment program and an address of said function").

Claims 10, 12, 13, 14 and 16 are the computer program product claim corresponding to method claims 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 respectively, above.

Claims 18, 20, 21, 22 and 24 are the apparatus claim corresponding to method claims 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 respectively, and rejected under the same rational set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8 respectively, above.

Art Unit: 2124

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Satish S. Rampuria whose telephone number is 703-305-8891. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kakali Chaki** can be reached on **(703) 305-9662**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Satish S. Rampuria Patent Examiner Art Unit 2124 10/04/2004

KAKALI CHARI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100